

**John 11:1-45**

<sup>1</sup> Now a man was ill, Lazarus from Bethany, the village of Mary and her sister Martha. <sup>2</sup> Mary was the one who had anointed the Lord with perfumed oil and dried his feet with her hair; it was her brother Lazarus who was ill. <sup>3</sup> So the sisters sent word to him, saying, "Master, the one you love is ill." <sup>4</sup> When Jesus heard this he said, "This illness is not to end in death, but is for the glory of God, that the Son of God may be glorified through it." <sup>5</sup> Now Jesus loved Martha and her sister and Lazarus. <sup>6</sup> So when he heard that he was ill, he remained for two days in the place where he was.

<sup>7</sup> Then after this he said to his disciples, "Let us go back to Judea." <sup>8</sup> The disciples said to him, "Rabbi, the Jews were just trying to stone you, and you want to go back there?" <sup>9</sup> Jesus answered, "Are there not twelve hours in a day? If one walks during the day, he does not stumble, because he sees the light of this world. <sup>10</sup> But if one walks at night, he stumbles, because the light is not in him." <sup>11</sup> He said this, and then told them, "Our friend Lazarus is asleep, but I am going to awaken him." <sup>12</sup> So the disciples said to him, "Master, if he is asleep, he will be saved." <sup>13</sup> But Jesus was talking about his death, while they thought that he meant ordinary sleep. <sup>14</sup> So then Jesus said to them clearly, "Lazarus has died. <sup>15</sup> And I am glad for you that I was not there, that you may believe. Let us go to him." <sup>16</sup> So Thomas, called Didymus, said to his fellow disciples, "Let us also go to die with him."

<sup>17</sup> When Jesus arrived, he found that Lazarus had already been in the tomb for four days. <sup>18</sup> Now Bethany was near Jerusalem, only about two miles away. <sup>19</sup> And many of the Jews had come to Martha and Mary to comfort them about their brother. <sup>20</sup> When Martha heard that Jesus was coming, she went to meet him; but Mary sat at home. <sup>21</sup> Martha said to Jesus, "Lord, if you had been here, my brother would not have died. <sup>22</sup> (But) even now I know that whatever you ask of God, God will give you." <sup>23</sup> Jesus said to her, "Your brother will rise." <sup>24</sup> Martha said to him, "I know he will rise, in the resurrection on the last day." <sup>25</sup> Jesus told her, "I am the resurrection and the life; whoever believes in me, even if he dies, will live, <sup>26</sup> and everyone who lives and believes in me will never die. Do you believe this?" <sup>27</sup> She said to him, "Yes, Lord. I have come to believe that you are the Messiah, the Son of God, the one who is coming into the world."

<sup>28</sup> When she had said this, she went and called her sister Mary secretly, saying, "The teacher is here and is asking for you." <sup>29</sup> As soon as she heard this, she rose quickly and went to him. <sup>30</sup> For Jesus had not yet come into the village, but was still where Martha had met him. <sup>31</sup> So when the Jews who were with her in the house comforting her saw Mary get up quickly and go out, they followed her, presuming that she was going to the tomb to weep there. <sup>32</sup> When Mary came to where Jesus was and saw him, she fell at his feet and said to him, "Lord, if you had been here, my brother would not have died." <sup>33</sup> When Jesus saw her weeping and the Jews who had come with her weeping, he became perturbed and deeply troubled,

<sup>34</sup> and said, "Where have you laid him?" They said to him, "Sir, come and see." <sup>35</sup> And Jesus wept. <sup>36</sup> So the Jews said, "See how he loved him." <sup>37</sup> But some of them said, "Could not the one who opened the eyes of the blind man have done something so that this man would not have died?" <sup>38</sup> So Jesus, perturbed again, came to the tomb. It was a cave, and a stone lay across it. <sup>39</sup> Jesus said, "Take away the stone." Martha, the dead man's sister, said to him, "Lord, by now there will be a stench; he has been dead for four days." <sup>40</sup> Jesus said to her, "Did I not tell you that if you believe you will see the glory of God?" <sup>41</sup> So they took away the stone. And Jesus raised his eyes and said, "Father, I thank you for hearing me. <sup>42</sup> I know that you always hear me; but because of the crowd here I have said this, that they may believe that you sent me." <sup>43</sup> And when he had said this, he cried out in a loud voice, "Lazarus, come out!" <sup>44</sup> The dead man came out, tied hand and foot with burial bands, and his face was wrapped in a cloth. So Jesus said to them, "Untie him and let him go." <sup>45</sup> Now many of the Jews who had come to Mary and seen what he had done began to believe in him.

---

## Context

In the Lenten season (Year A) the 4<sup>th</sup> Sunday in Lenten recounts the story of the healing of the man born blind (John 9:1-41). In the commentary on that gospel it was explained that the miracles (called “signs” / *semeia*) in the gospel according to John point beyond themselves to the divine – not just the divine as a vague power, but to a person. They identify Jesus as the light and life of the world, the bread of life from heaven, and the Logos who, through the *semeia*/signs, reveals his own glory, which is also the glory of God his Father, since he and the Father are one and since he does the Father’s will and works. These signs are given that we might believe (Jn 20:26). For John, sin is the failure to believe and accept the consequential changes in one’s life. All the characters of John 9 (on-lookers, neighbors, parents, the Pharisees and other religious leaders) are judged in their failure to acknowledge Jesus as Lord and Savior and to subsequently become witnesses to Jesus as the glory of God.

Chapter 10 continues the revelation of Jesus – and like the chapters before it, also reveal faith among people in the way they respond to the signs – or sin in the way they fail/refuse to respond to the sign. In John 10, Jesus reveals/identifies himself as the good shepherd (10:11,14) promised by Zechariah 34 who would bring the lost sheep of Israel back into the covenant relationship. The religious authorities respond that Jesus must be possessed by a demon – a far graver accusation than those levied against Jesus in John 9.

This represents an intensification of the growing conflict between Jesus and the religious authorities. Even as the authorities are divided, yet increasingly hostile to the person of Jesus and his ministry, at the same time, some have believed in Jesus. In the midst of this Jesus has already made oblique references to his death and resurrection (being “*lifted up*” in 3:14, 8:28). Those references will become concretized in John 11 when many parties begin to speak about Jesus’ impending death

Seeking to put all questions to an end, the authorities command Jesus to tell them plainly if he is the Messiah. Jesus’ answer is simple – I already did and if you can’t believe my words, then consider my works. This concludes with Jesus’ statement, “*The Father and I are one.*” The authorities clearly understand his meaning and take up stones (10:31) to kill Jesus because he has blasphemed (v.33) in claiming to be God. Jesus leaves Jerusalem and “*went back across the Jordan to the place where John first baptized, and there he remained. Many came to him ...And many there began to believe in him.*” (John 10:40-42)

---

## Commentary

In a key and important way, John 11 continues the central narrative about the signs that Jesus performed in order to people might believe and because of that belief have life. The sign given in John 11 is the raising of Lazarus – technically a resuscitation, i.e., being restored to the life that was before. Too quickly people move to point forward to Jesus’ own resurrection as though Lazarus only served to point to that event. As all the other signs (*semeia*) in John, the raising of Lazarus points to Jesus who is the source of life – both here and in the “last days.” John has already introduced us to the “life” theme when speaking of rebirth (Nicodemus) and living water (Samaritan woman); in reference to the life-giving word; in context of the life-giving bread (Jn 6); in Jesus’ self description as the “light of life” (8:12); as well as the previous chapter’s assertion “*I have come that they might have life and have it to the full*” (10:10). All of these accounts continue to remind us that meeting Jesus always operates on the physical and spiritual level – and often the miracle (sign/*semeia*) serve as the vehicle to make this point clear.

The raising of Lazarus from death has the meaning that is clear and evident: one who was dead has been raised from death and restored to life. It also possesses a symbolic meaning – the giving of life to all people whom Jesus loves. This sign also carries meaning about spiritual death seen as separation

from God and spiritual life as connection with God. Both are part of John's message in this text. One should note the similar dual meaning that was part of the story about the healing of the man born blind man wherein there is both physical and spiritual blindness (the gospel reading for the 3<sup>rd</sup> Sunday in Lent, Year A).

The great Johannine scholar, Fr. Raymond Brown suggests the following outline of this narrative (vv.1-44) which he calls: *Jesus gives life to Lazarus – a sign that Jesus is the life*

- 1-6: Setting**
- 7-16: Should Jesus go up to Judea?**
  - 7-10, 16 to die
  - 11-15 to help Lazarus
- 17-33: Jesus arrives at Bethany**
  - 17-19 Arrival and setting
  - 20-27 Martha come out to greet Jesus
  - 28-33 Mary comes out to greet Jesus
- 34-44: Raising of Lazarus**
  - 34-40 Setting and preliminaries
  - 41-44 the miracle

### ***The Setting***

Here the setting means more than the location or geography. While there is a mention of “Bethany” the village located in the vicinity of Jerusalem, the opening verses (vv.1-6) are really an introduction of the family whom Jesus loves and who are believers. Even their introduction is laced with references to the impending death of Jesus. The editorial aside (v.2) points forward to Mary’s anointing of Jesus as a sign of preparation for death and burial (12:1-8) placing that death at the very head of the story of Lazarus.

The sisters’ message (v.3) does not explicitly ask for Jesus to respond or take a specific action, but since the message characterizes Lazarus as the one whom Jesus loves, the implicit request is there: come and love Lazarus to life. We are given great hope in Jesus’ response: *This illness is not to end in death* (v.4); surely those words gave the hearers the impression that again Jesus would provide a healing – as before giving glory to God – but then Jesus inexplicably stays put for two days. At this point within the narrative one can only speculate as to the reason, but from outside the narrative one can begin to wonder about the words: “*that the Son of God may be glorified though it.*” From the beginning of the fourth gospel the purpose of the signs (*semeia*) has been to reveal the glory of Jesus: “*Jesus did this as the beginning of his signs in Cana in Galilee and so revealed his glory, and his disciples began to believe in him*” (John 2:11). Jesus’ glory is described as his possession since before the foundation of the world (17:5, 24) one that is founded in love and indicates a oneness with the Father (17:22).

On one level, Jesus is glorified by the resuscitation of Lazarus. On another level, the hour of Jesus’ glory is his suffering and death (John 12:23; 13:31; 17:1). Lazarus’ illness (and resuscitation) is for the glory of God not just because of itself, but because it will ultimately lead to Jesus’ death and resurrection.

### ***Should Jesus Go to Judea?***

After Jesus’ bread of life discourse in John 6, “*Jesus moved about within Galilee; but he did not wish to travel in Judea, because the Jews were trying to kill him*” (7:1). The recent appearance in Jerusalem had also ended with the religious authorities seeking to have Jesus stoned to death (10:31-33). Naturally the disciples ask why Jesus would want to do such a blatantly dangerous thing. At one level of meaning, it shows that in choosing the time to enter Judea, Jesus is choosing the time (“*my hour*”;

2:4; 7:30; 8:20) for his death – God is control of these things. This choice has already been expressed to the disciples: “*This is why the Father loves me, because I lay down my life in order to take it up again. No one takes it from me, but I lay it down on my own. I have power to lay it down, and power to take it up again.*” (10:17–18). Finally, the reason is summarized in v.15: *that you may believe.*”

It is in the context of this summarizing reason that one gains insight into Jesus’ explanation in vv.9-14. The “*twelve hours in a day*” refers to Jesus’ presence among the disciples, guiding them that they not stumble because they see the “*light of the world*” – i.e., Jesus (*cf.* 8:12; 9:5). As Jesus’ hour approaches, the time for the disciples to move from darkness to light is limited. The stumbling block is not death, rather it is walking apart from the light of the world.

The metaphor of sleeping as death is well used in the NT (Mt 27:52; 1 Cor 7:39, 11:30, 15:16-20, 51; 1 Thess 4:13-15) – and so Jesus describes Lazarus’ death (that will not end in death (v.4) – although ironically leading to Jesus’ death) as being asleep and says the in the end Lazarus will be awakened (v.11). The disciples rightly ask: “Well, good... if he is only asleep, let him wake, and let’s not tempt the authorities with our presence.” The word “saved” (*σῶζω*) used in v.12 can mean either “saved” or “healed.” Given the nature of the disciples’ response it seems that “healed” would have been the better option for the disciples – but the subtle Johannine word play would be lost to English readers. This gives rise to Jesus making clear in v.14 that Lazarus has died. What is unusual in this response is that Jesus so quickly clears up their misunderstanding – perhaps only highlighting the shortness of time.

Thomas’ response is that of the obedient disciple who does not fully understand what is being revealed to him, but will trust and operate out that trust – assuming he is responding to the imminence of Jesus’ death. Thomas’ response is actually ambiguous – the “him” of v.16 could refer to Lazarus since that is the most immediate death in context. It is perhaps no more than unclear grammar, but again it may well be the choice that faces all would-be disciples: “believe” (v.15) and as Jesus dies and is resurrected, so too will the disciple die, but be saved.

### ***Jesus Arrives at Bethany***

Upon their arrival in Bethany, Jesus’ assertion about Lazarus’ death (v.14) is confirmed. The four-day period underscores the finality of death. According to the popular belief, the hovered around the body for three days after the death, hoping to reenter the body. But after the third day, when the soul “sees that the color of its face has changed,” the soul leaves the body for good (*Gen. Rab. 100*). When Jesus arrives fellow mourners had already arrived to console the grieving sisters – but they will also serve another purpose: witness.

*Martha and Jesus.* What was implied in v.3 is now explicit in v.21: we were hoping that you would have come and saved our brother. Martha speaks these words to Jesus: “*Lord, if you had been here, my brother would not have died*” (v.21). We know what she says; what is unclear is how, with what tone, she says them. Most often commentaries have the words carry the tone of regret yet in a faithful voice. Too often one cannot imagine the tone being a basic complaint. Yet the complaining is the language of the psalms, the language of Job and so many other passages in the OT – it should not be so quickly dismissed. The complaining tone make the faith statement (v.22) even more pronounced. Martha still believes that Jesus is the righteous man to whom God will listen (one need not move to rapidly to the confession of Jesus as Messiah in v.27).

Jesus response is simple and perhaps ambiguous: “*You brother will rise.*” These words could be taken to mean either ‘your brother will rise again in the general resurrection on the last day’ or ‘your brother will be restored to life immediately’. Martha responds within the context of her Jewish beliefs asserting the belief in the general resurrection.

To move her beyond the orthodoxy of the Pharisees, *Jesus said to her, 'I am the resurrection and the life; whoever believes in me, even if he dies, will live, and everyone who lives and believes in me will never die.'* This statement contains the fifth of seven different 'I am' sayings with predicates in the Fourth Gospel (6:35, 48, 51; 8:12; 10:7, 9; 10:11, 14; 11:25; 14:6; 15:1, 5). It involves three claims:

- (1) Jesus himself is the resurrection and the life, i.e. the Father has given him to have life in himself and to bestow resurrection life upon whomever he will (5:21, 26).
- (2) People who believe in him, even if they die (as Lazarus had done) will live—Jesus will raise them from death on the last day. What he would soon do for Lazarus would foreshadow the resurrection of the last day.
- (3) People who live and believe in him will never die; not even death can break their relationship with God.

With these claims Jesus made himself central to the Jewish hope of the resurrection and eternal life, and by asking Martha, *Do you believe this?*, he encouraged her to recognize this.

Martha's response is a confession of faith: "*Yes, Lord. I have come to believe that you are the Messiah, the Son of God, the one who is coming into the world.*" She had moved beyond her previous beliefs about Jesus' righteousness (v.22) and the general Jewish beliefs (v.24). She accepts Jesus' central role in bringing about the resurrection on the last day, adding that she believed he was the Christ, the Son of God, the one whom the Father had sent into the world. The title 'the Son of God' is now known to have been used as a messianic title among first-century Jews. Martha's confession echoes Nathanael's confession (1:49), and is of the status of Peter's confession (6:68-69) and Thomas' (20:28) and is an example of what the evangelist hopes will be evoked by his Gospel in the hearts and minds of his readers (20:31).

*Jesus and Mary.* When Jesus calls, Mary responds. The mourners believed Mary was going to the tomb and so they followed, but Mary's destination was to the feet of Jesus. Perhaps the evangelist wants us to see in Mary's prostration an act of worship. And seemingly in tension with her worship, she reproached him as Martha had done (v.21,33) for not coming in time to prevent her brother's death. Perhaps these two things can coexist, reflecting her faith in Jesus and her despair at the same time. She says nothing else. She doesn't utter all the proper phrases like Martha about the all-powerful Jesus, the Messiah, the Son of God or any belief about the resurrection of the dead. Mary just cries.

Verses 33 and 34 present a problem in translation – consider the following three modern translations:

- "*When Jesus saw her weeping and the Jews who had come with her weeping, he became perturbed and deeply troubled*<sup>34</sup> and said, "*Where have you laid him?*" (NAB)
- *Jesus was greatly distressed, and with a profound sigh he said,*<sup>34</sup> "*Where have you put him?*" (NJB)
- *he was greatly disturbed in spirit and deeply moved.*<sup>34</sup> *He said, "Where have you laid him?"* (NRSV)

The word translated 'perturbed/greatly distressed, greatly disturbed' is *embrimaomai*. It is a rare word, found only here and in 11:38 in the Fourth Gospel, and elsewhere in the NT only in Matthew and Mark. Its meaning is to "snort as an expression of rage" – which seems to be the sense here in John, i.e., become indignant, be furious. If directed at someone it means to "scold" (Mark 1:43; 14:5). The inner reaction of Jesus has a strong emotional sense – but also raised two questions: (a) in response to what/who? And (b) what has he seen in the what/who to whom he has responded?

Two interpretations of *embrimaomai* in 11:33 have been suggested. First, Jesus was 'perturbed' with compassion for Mary when he saw her weeping, and second, that he was 'perturbed' with anger. It is hard to linguistically justify the sense of "compassion." Anger is more consistent with the word's

meaning In the latter case there have been a number of suggestions why he was angry: (1) he was angry because of the faithless weeping and wailing of Mary and ‘the Jews’—they were grieving, as St Paul said, ‘*like the rest of men, who have no hope*’ (1 Thess. 4:13); (2) he was angry with death itself, the consequence of sin, which caused such pain; (3) he was angry with himself for not coming sooner to heal Lazarus and so prevent his death and the grief it caused Mary and Martha. This last suggestion is unlikely because Jesus knew he was going to raise Lazarus from death. The first suggestion has most to commend it, because the text says it was when Jesus saw Mary weeping like the rest that he became perturbed...but we often rebel at that interpretation. Why? I suspect it is because of the influence of the Lukan portrait of Mary, the one who sat at the feet of Jesus.

But this is John’s narrative. In the two sisters we have two partial ways to come to Jesus. While Martha had depth in her confession, there was little emotion. Mary has great emotion, but perhaps little depth in the knowledge her faith. While it might appear that Mary’s tears moved Jesus to raise Lazarus, that isn’t the case. Jesus had told his disciples before they had arrived that he was coming to “wake up” “sleeping” Lazarus. He went there with the intentions of raising Lazarus before either sister came to him. While both approaches are less than whole, less than complete – none the less each is a pathway to a relationship with the Lord.

### ***The Raising of Lazarus***

Upon arrival at Lazarus’ tomb, the evangelist simply tells us, *Jesus wept*. How Jesus’ weeping is interpreted depends on how his being ‘*perturbed*’ (33, 38) is understood as described above. The weeping of Mary and ‘the Jews’ is denoted by the Greek word *klaiō*, found forty times in the NT and eight times in the Fourth Gospel, and very often in the context of weeping and wailing. There is only one other place in the Gospels where it is recorded that Jesus wept: when he wept over Jerusalem and its impending judgment (Luke 19:41). On this occasion the common Greek word *klaiō* is used of Jesus’ weeping. It may be significant that the evangelist uses a different and rare word, *dakryō*, for Jesus’ weeping in 11:35, the only place it is found in the NT. Perhaps he is showing by his choice of this word that Jesus’ weeping was of a different order from that of Mary and ‘the Jews’. He was not joining with them in their weeping and wailing, but expressing his sorrow at the faithlessness he found all around him.

Seeing Jesus weep, *the Jews said*, ‘*See how he loved him!*’ They interpreted Jesus’ weeping as a sign of his love for Lazarus, and grief at his death. But did the evangelist agree with them? Has he included their comment because it correctly interprets the reason for Jesus’ weeping, or simply because that is what ‘the Jews’ thought (mistakenly) without endorsing it? Did ‘the Jews’ fail to realize he was weeping because of their faithlessness, not the death of Lazarus? The dead can be raised, but the faith can not be forced upon an unbeliever. They can only be given signs that they might believe.

The tomb is described as a cave (*spēlaion*), suggesting a natural cave, rather than a man-made rock tomb (in Heb. 11:38; Rev. 6:15 *spēlaion* is used in reference to naturally occurring caves). When the body of the deceased, Lazarus, was put into it, a large stone was placed across the entrance, as was later to be the case when Jesus was buried (20:1).

Standing before the tomb, Jesus said, *Take away the stone*. This instruction created problems: “*Lord, by now there will be a stench; he has been dead for four days*” (v.39). Despite her earlier confession (vv.23–27) Martha was not expecting a miracle. She was concerned that the corpse by the fourth day would be starting to decompose and be giving off a bad odor. The fourth day has another significance in Jewish belief. As already mentioned, the soul of the departed was believed to stay near the body of the dead person for three days in the hope it might resuscitate. When it saw the change in the color of the face that takes place by the third day it departed permanently. The person was then well and truly

dead. That Lazarus had been in the tomb four days indicated there was no hope of resuscitation, thus highlighting the greatness of the miracle Jesus was about to perform.

Unperturbed by Martha's objection, Jesus reminded her of what he had said earlier (something not recorded by the evangelist): "*Did I not tell you that if you believe you will see the glory of God?*" (v.40). Addressing Martha individually (using the second-person singular) and recalling what he had said before, he urged her to focus, not upon the apparently hopeless situation of her dead brother, but upon the revelation of the glory of God about to occur. Jesus' reply was enough to satisfy Martha's objections

But before Jesus acted he prayed (vv.41-42). Jesus' prayer doesn't ask for a miracle; but is one of thanksgiving to God and meant to be overheard by those standing by. Perhaps like the Eucharistic Prayers in Mass, while they offer thanks to God, it is also a proclamation to those who overhear the prayer.

Jesus' shout "*Lazarus, come out!*" might echo Jesus' earlier words: "*Do not be amazed at this, because the hour is coming in which all who are in the tombs will hear his voice and will come out, those who have done good deeds to the resurrection of life, but those who have done wicked deeds to the resurrection of condemnation*" (John 5:28-29). What is clear is that shout (*kraugizo*) in v.43 gives life. The same word is used of the crowds shouting for Jesus' death (18:40; 19:6, 12, 15). (Its only other instance in John is 12:13 where the Palm Sunday crowd shouts their Hosannas.) It is in response to Jesus' word that Lazarus finds life. It is also in response to Jesus' word that Lazarus is freed from his restrictive bindings, by other people. Not all of God's works take place supernaturally. Sometimes they require work on our part.

*Now many of the Jews who had come to Mary and seen what he had done began to believe in him.*

## Notes

**John 11:1 *Lazarus from Bethany*:** There is a great temptation to attempt to draw a connection with Lazarus the poor beggar from Luke 16:19-31. Many note that it is highly unusual that a character in a parable is given a name – in fact it is the only time it occurs. Add to this that Lazarus is the brother of Martha and Mary, and scholars begin to speculate if the Johannine Lazarus is historical or not. One must remember that Luke and John have different narrative perspectives and purposes – thus one need not form any preliminary impressions about the Johannine Martha, Mary and Lazarus from those descriptions given in Luke.

**John 11:16 *Didymus*:** lit. "twin."

**John 11:18 *Bethany was near Jerusalem*:** The geographical reference reminds the reader how close Jesus is to Jerusalem, the center of the authorities who are plotting to have Jesus killed.

**John 11:24 *resurrection on the last day*:** Martha's affirmation of end-time resurrection was in keeping with Pharisaic beliefs (cf. Acts 23:8; Josephus, *Jewish War* 2.163) and those of the majority of first-century Jews, as well as Jesus' own teaching on the subject (cf. 5:21, 25–29; 6:39–44, 54). The resurrection of the dead was the subject of lively debate between the Pharisees and their opponents (e.g., *b. Sanh* 90b, referring to Deut. 31:16; Isa. 26:19; Song 7:9). Mishnaic passages likewise denounce those who refuse to affirm the resurrection of the dead (*m. Sanh.* 10:1; cf. *. Ber* 9:5). Belief in the resurrection is also evident from the second of the Eighteen Benedictions: "Lord, you are almighty forever, who makes the dead alive.... Blessed are you, Lord, who makes the dead alive" (cf. *m. Ber.* 5:2; *m. Satoh* 9:15). The Sadducees (as well as the Samaritans), in contrast to the Pharisees, flatly denied the future reality of resurrection (cf. Matt. 22:23–33; Acts 23:8; Josephus, *Jewish War* 2.165 and *Antiquities.* 18.16).

---

## Sources

- G. K. Beale and D. A. Carson, *Commentary on the New Testament Use of the Old Testament* (Grand Rapids, MI; Nottingham, UK: Baker Academic; Apollos, 2007). 467-69
- Raymond E. Brown, *The Gospel According to John*, vol. 29a in *The Anchor Bible*, eds. William Albright and David Freeman (New York, NY: Doubleday, 1966) 419-37
- Neal M. Flanagan, "John" in *The Collegeville Bible Commentary*, eds. Dianne Bergant and Robert J. Karris (Collegeville, Minn.: Liturgical Press, 1989) 999-1001
- Colin G. Kruse, *John: An Introduction and Commentary*, Tyndale New Testament Commentaries (Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2003) 243-53
- Francis J. Moloney, *The Gospel of John*, vol. 4 in *Sacra Pagina*, ed. Daniel J. Harrington (Collegeville, MN: Liturgical Press, 1998) 322-46
- John J. McPolin, *John*, vol. 6 of the *New Testament Message*, eds. Wilfred Harrington and Donald Senior (Wilmington, DE: Michael Glazier, 1989) 151-62
- Gail R. O'Day, *John in the New Interpreter's Bible*, Volume 9, ed. Leander E. Keck (Nashville, TN: Abingdon Press, 1996) 681-95

## Dictionaries

- David Noel Freedman, *The Anchor Yale Bible Dictionary* (New York: Doubleday, 1996)  
**Harold Remus, *Miracle* (NT), 4:856-70**

## Scripture

- Scripture quotes from *New American Bible* by Confraternity of Christian Doctrine, Inc., Washington, DC. © 1991, 1986, 1970 at [www.usccb.org/nab/bible/index.shtml](http://www.usccb.org/nab/bible/index.shtml)